

# **EXHIBIT 1**

Page 1

1  
- KEVIN LUCAS -2  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK3  
----- X  
ULKU ROWE,4  
Plaintiff,5  
Case No.  
6  
19 Civ. 08655 (LGS) (GWG)7  
v.8  
GOOGLE LLC9  
Defendant.10  
----- X11  
DATE: October 27, 202012  
TIME: 11:35 A.M.13  
14  
VIDEOTAPED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION  
15  
OF KEVIN LUCAS, held via Zoom, pursuant to Notice,  
16  
before Hope Menaker, a Shorthand Reporter and  
17  
Notary Public of the State of New York.18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

<p>1 - KEVIN LUCAS -</p> <p>2 MR. GAGE: Okay.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you know whether this relates to</p> <p>4 leveling or performance evaluations?</p> <p>5 A. Leveling or performance evaluations?</p> <p>6 So neither I guess I would say, because what it</p> <p>7 appears as though this is the rubric by which we</p> <p>8 assess candidates for in the interview process.</p> <p>9 So let me scroll down here. How this</p> <p>10 would typically work is when the role is opened</p> <p>11 and the -- the role is leveled as an 8, we choose</p> <p>12 the rubric for that respective level and job; and</p> <p>13 as you move through the interview process, your</p> <p>14 interviewers submit feedback in GHire that</p> <p>15 correlates to the descriptors that are in this</p> <p>16 spreadsheet. Does that make sense?</p> <p>17 Q. Yes?</p> <p>18 A. Okay.</p> <p>19 Q. And these are Google-wide rubrics,</p> <p>20 correct?</p> <p>21 A. So I can't read the words, by the</p> <p>22 way, in the format in which it's opened, but we</p> <p>23 have rubrics for roles at Google. The descriptors</p> <p>24 will look different across level based on your</p> <p>25 role.</p>	<p>Page 130</p> <p>1 - KEVIN LUCAS -</p> <p>2 ratings for each attribute, it pops up the</p> <p>3 descriptors. So that's how you start to assess.</p> <p>4 The descriptors within the rubrics</p> <p>5 will vary based on your job family to become</p> <p>6 more relevant to the role in which you're being</p> <p>7 considered -- for which you're being considered.</p> <p>8 Q. So how are these Google-wide rubrics</p> <p>9 used then?</p> <p>10 MR. GAGE: Objection.</p> <p>11 Q. So, for instance, on the same page</p> <p>12 that you were just on which was the "Leadership</p> <p>13 L 8" --</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. -- the first characteristic is</p> <p>16 "Adapt"?</p> <p>17 A. Yup.</p> <p>18 Q. And "Outstanding," I can read it to</p> <p>19 you, is "Proactively anticipated change using</p> <p>20 market insights and envision future scenarios</p> <p>21 beyond the apparent limits of present</p> <p>22 opportunities. Effectively coached the team</p> <p>23 through this change and achieve an organizational</p> <p>24 success."</p> <p>25 A. Thank you for clarifying and -- and</p>
<p>1 - KEVIN LUCAS -</p> <p>2 So for example an L 8 people partner</p> <p>3 that I am, I will be assessed against four</p> <p>4 attributes and how we describe those will be</p> <p>5 different than an L 8 attorney or an L 8 SWE</p> <p>6 director, et cetera because you -- you have to</p> <p>7 provide kind of the job relevance descriptors</p> <p>8 there.</p> <p>9 So the rubrics exist across roles and</p> <p>10 levels, but the content within the rubrics will</p> <p>11 vary based on your role.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay, and so these rubrics apply to</p> <p>13 all 12 of those positions, but the specifics of it</p> <p>14 would be adapted for each position; is that right?</p> <p>15 MR. GAGE: Objection.</p> <p>16 A. Probably. So maybe if I -- I</p> <p>17 describe this.</p> <p>18 If you go to Page 5 which is titled</p> <p>19 "Leadership L 8," again I can't read the content</p> <p>20 in each cell because it's all compressed in this</p> <p>21 view of the document, but you would see like</p> <p>22 for SWE-- well, for leadership here you have your</p> <p>23 attributes on the left-hand side and how it's</p> <p>24 described across kind of interview assessment</p> <p>25 ratings; and in the tools as you hover over these</p>	<p>Page 131</p> <p>Page 133</p> <p>1 - KEVIN LUCAS -</p> <p>2 reading that. There's an important distinction.</p> <p>3 So for the leadership assessment in</p> <p>4 the interview process, those are consistent across</p> <p>5 L 8s, all roles. The other attributes for which</p> <p>6 you're assessing them like general cognitive</p> <p>7 ability, role-related knowledge, those vary by job</p> <p>8 family.</p> <p>9 Apologies, I just -- I didn't</p> <p>10 articulate that correctly earlier.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 A. That's an important distinction.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Is Googliness something that's</p> <p>14 applied across all positions or that is unique to</p> <p>15 a position?</p> <p>16 A. To my knowledge, Googliness is</p> <p>17 applied across all roles at Google. Where you see</p> <p>18 differentiation in the rubrics is in role-related</p> <p>19 knowledge and GCA by the job family, yeah.</p> <p>20 Q. And is part of this the -- the reason</p> <p>21 to have Google-wide rubrics to ensure that a Level</p> <p>22 8 in one group is the equivalent of a Level 8 in</p> <p>23 another group, to have some consistency across the</p> <p>24 company in terms of how leveling -- what leveling</p> <p>25 signifies?</p>

		Page 134	Page 136
1		- KEVIN LUCAS -	- KEVIN LUCAS -
2		A. Yes, that is an -- one component of	data, analyze it, launch, iterate, like, that
3		it. These five attributes that you see on Page 5	construct is pretty consistent across the board.
4		here, "Adapts, Collaborates, Delivers, Includes	Again it's the hierarchy where you'll
5		and Inspires;" these are the attributes that make	find wide differentiation, because all jobs are --
6		up our leadership expectations that are consistent	Q. Right.
7		across all leadership roles at -- at Google.	A. -- jobs that are different.
8		So we actually have a leadership	Q. So, for instance, with respect to GCA
9		expectations framework that largely describes the	the problem that you're solving for may be
10		what of leadership roles, so that is kind of a	different, but -- across roles, but how you're
11		point of consistency.	assessed in terms of whether you're able to
12		Q. Okay, and with respect to this	problem solve should be rather consistent across
13		document it goes up to Level 8 plus, correct, if	roles; is that fair?
14		you look at the table of contents?	A. That's a much fairer way to say it.
15		A. Oh, sorry.	Q. Okay. You can put that document
16		Yes, Level 8 plus.	aside.
17		Q. So at Level 8 and above there's a set	I would like you to look, as soon as
18		that the same rubrics are used for above -- Level	it's up, at Tab 136.
19		8 and above, correct?	A. Okay. I'm just zooming in, but feel
20		A. Yes, for Googliness and leadership	free to go ahead.
21		which are two of the four attributes that we	Q. We're going to mark this as Exhibit
22		assess in the interview process.	65 and this document is Bates stamped
23		Q. Well, in this document GCA also has	GOOG-ROWE-00029598.
24		Level 8 plus, right?	MR. GAGE: The one I'm looking at is
25		A. So, yes, but I think -- let me	29597.
		Page 135	Page 137
1		- KEVIN LUCAS -	- KEVIN LUCAS -
2		double-check. I don't remember seeing it in this	MS. GREENE: All right, let me make
3		form before, hold on.	sure I --
4		MR. GAGE: Again, just note for the	MR. GAGE: I mean -- well, it starts
5		record that the witness can't -- cannot read	at 29597. It also has 29598, but it's a
6		all of the content of this document that's in	two-page document, the one that I'm looking
7		front of him.	at.
8		MS. GREENE: We're told it's because	MS. GREENE: Thank you. Mine --
9		he has Chromebook.	mine had a different screen. It's
10		A. Stupid Chromebook.	GOOG-ROWE-00029597 through 98.
11		Q. Sorry.	MR. GAGE: That's the one that's
12		A. I'm literally going to trade it in	here, yeah.
13		for a Mac, which is -- is totally fair.	MS. GREENE: Thanks for that.
14		In -- a different way to describe it,	(Whereupon, Exhibit 65 was marked at
15		the most variation comes in role-related	this time.)
16		knowledge, because you can't have consistent	Q. Do you recognize this document?
17		role-related knowledge across every role at	A. Yes, the contents I'm -- I'm familiar
18		Google. Leadership and Googliness are two that	with.
19		are more universally consistent.	Q. Okay. If you can look at the section
20		GCA is largely kind of a	under "Salaries" --
21		problem-solving one, a problem-solving attribute	A. Yeah.
22		whereby you'll probably see a little variation,	Q. -- it says "Your salary reflects toy
23		but practically speaking the principles of problem	role, level, and location." Is that a true
24		solving still apply by which you identify a	statement for Google?
25		problem, formulate a hypothesis, gather through	A. Yes. Yes, it is.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 166</p> <p>1 - KEVIN LUCAS -</p> <p>2 A. In -- in my opinion, that's an 3 inappropriate use of the rationale for it. The 4 intent behind this is to differentiate performance 5 when all things are similar, right.</p> <p>6 So if you have two L 8 TSC directors 7 sitting in New York who have identical tenures and 8 same performance ratings, we ask -- or we 9 recommend or suggest that managers can 10 differentiate the performance between those two 11 same individuals since they are arguably within 12 the same rating bucket.</p> <p>13 So if all things are reasonably 14 similar, this is a manager's opportunity to say 15 Google -- if they were both rated consistently 16 meets expectations, I'm going to exercise more 17 discretion for Googler A because they were the 18 higher in that meets, perhaps almost exceeds 19 expectations and exercise perhaps no discretion 20 for Googler B who was the lower end of meets.</p> <p>21 So the intent is to differentiate 22 within the rating buckets.</p> <p>23 Q. And if there were two Googlers who 24 were in the same geo and the managers were to 25 say they're performing the same job at the same</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 168</p> <p>1 - KEVIN LUCAS -</p> <p>2 manager who is managing both of these people says 3 I know these people are actually doing the same 4 thing at the same level, can he make adjustments 5 or she make adjustments to compensation to account 6 for that?</p> <p>7 MR. GAGE: Objection.</p> <p>8 A. Generally speaking it would be 9 incredibly difficult for them to be able to do 10 that because the guardrails we put in place in 11 planning, work to prevent any sort of leapfrogging 12 across levels.</p> <p>13 Q. And so are you familiar, in any 14 instances, where it's been determined that someone 15 was incorrectly leveled at the time they came in?</p> <p>16 A. I wouldn't necessarily say 17 incorrectly leveled. I -- I would actually look 18 at it as their performance and impact. So if they 19 were delivering high performance and next-level 20 impact, then we would promote them whether that 21 was six months after hire or two years after hire.</p> <p>22 So it -- in -- in my head the way in 23 which I guide clients is it's less about the level 24 and more about what they're actually delivering to 25 the business; and if they're delivering at the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 167</p> <p>1 - KEVIN LUCAS -</p> <p>2 performance levels, right, both exceeds 3 expectations, but one is a Level 8 and one is a 4 Level 9 and so I want to pay them the same, can 5 they make that adjustment?</p> <p>6 MR. GAGE: Objection.</p> <p>7 A. So there are bounds by which 8 managers are capped to make a decision, but I 9 think there's -- pardon the expression, but kind 10 of a -- a flaw in the question because if two 11 Googlers are performing at the same level and one 12 is a Level 8 and one is a Level 9, then I would 13 actually argue that that Level 9 is not meeting 14 the expectations of the role and perhaps that 15 Level 8 is actually meeting or starting to meet 16 the expectations of the Level 9 which means 17 they're likely on a promotion trajectory or should 18 be promoted in the near term.</p> <p>19 So in -- we can't take a consistently 20 meets expectations Level 8 and consistently meets 21 expectations Level 9 and say they're the same 22 performance, because they're fundamentally 23 performing different jobs that likely have 24 different scopes.</p> <p>25 Q. And what I'm saying is: If the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 169</p> <p>1 - KEVIN LUCAS -</p> <p>2 next level, then we should acknowledge that 3 through promotion.</p> <p>4 Q. Are you -- putting aside Ms. Rowe, 5 are you aware of any circumstances where an 6 individual has said I was misleveled as compared 7 to someone in the same -- performing the same job 8 as me?</p> <p>9 A. I -- I can't think of kind a formal 10 complaint about misleveing.</p> <p>11 Q. Are you -- are you aware as to 12 whether ER has a category of complaints that 13 relate to leveling decisions?</p> <p>14 A. I am not.</p> <p>15 Q. Are you aware of anyone's 16 leveling -- level being changed in response to a 17 complaint about their initial leveling?</p> <p>18 A. No, I'm not aware of any situation 19 for which that's happened.</p> <p>20 Q. So once someone is leveled, their 21 only way to get that level changed upwardly is 22 through the promotion process?</p> <p>23 A. From my observation, that is the 24 primary vehicle. That said, I don't have 25 visibility into every area of Google so I cannot</p>